

had a chance to thoroughly examine these issues.

Mr. ROTH. Mr. President, I agree with the suggestion of the chairman of the Energy Committee.

Mr. MURKOWSKI. Mr. President, I thank the chairman of the Finance Committee and I look forward to working with him.

MORNING BUSINESS

Mr. GRASSLEY. Mr. President, on behalf of the majority leader, I have the task of asking unanimous consent that there now be a period for the transaction of morning business with Senators permitted to speak for up to 5 minutes.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

THE VERY BAD DEBT BOXSCORE

Mr. HELMS. Mr. President, at the close of business yesterday, Tuesday, June 24, 1997, the Federal debt stood at \$5,336,557,573,448.51. (Five trillion, three hundred thirty-six billion, five hundred fifty-seven million, five hundred seventy-three thousand, four hundred forty-eight dollars and fifty-one cents)

One year ago, June 24, 1996, the Federal debt stood at \$5,110,927,000,000. (Five trillion, one hundred ten billion, nine hundred twenty-seven million)

Five years ago, June 24, 1992, the Federal debt stood at \$3,941,032,000,000. (Three trillion, nine hundred forty-one billion, thirty-two million)

Ten years ago, June 24, 1987, the Federal debt stood at \$2,293,521,000,000. (Two trillion, two hundred ninety-three billion, five hundred twenty-one million)

Fifteen years ago, June 24, 1982, the Federal debt stood at \$1,070,688,000,000. (One trillion, seventy billion, six hundred eighty-eight million) which reflects a debt increase of more than \$4 trillion—\$4,265,869,573,448.51 (Four trillion, two hundred sixty-five billion, eight hundred sixty-nine million, five hundred seventy-three thousand, four hundred forty-eight dollars and fifty-one cents) during the past 15 years.

U.S. FOREIGN OIL CONSUMPTION FOR WEEK ENDING JUNE 20

Mr. HELMS. Mr. President, the American Petroleum Institute reports that for the week ending June 20, the U.S. imported 7,630,000 barrels of oil each day, 301,000 barrels fewer than the 7,931,000 imported each day during the same week a year ago.

While this is one of the very few weeks that Americans imported less oil than the same week a year ago, Americans relied on foreign oil for 54.4 percent of their needs last week, and there are no signs that the upward spiral will abate. Before the Persian Gulf war, the United States obtained approximately 45 percent of its oil supply from foreign countries. During the Arab oil embargo

in the 1970's, foreign oil accounted for only 35 percent of America's oil supply.

Anybody else interested in restoring domestic production of oil? By U.S. producers using American workers?

Politicians had better ponder the economic calamity sure to occur in America if and when foreign producers shut off our supply—or double the already enormous cost of imported oil flowing into the U.S.—now 7,630,000 barrels a day.

THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1997

Mr. HATCH. Mr. President, last year, I stated on the Senate floor that "our country stands at a crossroads on the path it travels in relations among the different races and ethnic groups that make up the American people. Down one path is the way of mutual understanding and goodwill; the way of equal opportunity for individuals; the way of seriously and persistently addressing our various social problems as America's problems. * * * Down the other path is the way of mutual suspicion, fear, ill will, and indifference; the way of group rights and group preferences."

I am proud to stand today with my colleagues in the House and the Senate, and others who have worked so hard for the cause of opportunity, to announce the introduction of the Civil Rights Act of 1997. The act represents our best efforts to recommit the nation to the ideal of equal opportunity for every American—to emphasize that we must resist the temptation to define the nation's problems in narrow racial terms, and rather must roll up our sleeves and begin the hard work of dealing with our problems as Americans, and as fellow human beings.

Of course, our critics will imply that those of us who today reject divisive racial preferences and distinctions do so because we underestimate the social, economic, and discriminatory obstacles some Americans face. President Clinton, for example, told his audience in San Diego last week that "[t]he vast majority of [Californians who supported that state's Proposition 209] did it with a conviction that discrimination and isolation are no longer barriers to achievement." But that is just plain wrong.

To the contrary, last week in the Senate Judiciary Committee we heard from a panel of ordinary citizens who movingly told us of their experiences with discrimination in America. Among them was a Chinese-American mother from San Francisco, Charlene Loen, who told us how her young son Patrick was denied admission to an elite public magnet school, Lowell High School, because he is Chinese. The school district's efforts to ensure diversity among its students led it to employ a system of racial preference that had the effect of capping Chinese enrollment in many of its schools, forcing Chinese children to score much higher on entrance exams than children of other races. At virtually every

public school Ms. Loen approached, she was first asked whether Patrick was Chinese, and when learning that he was, would inform Ms. Loen that Patrick need not apply. The Chinese quota was in effect full. Ladies and gentlemen, that is not the promise of America.

There should be no question that discrimination indeed continues to deny opportunities to too many Americans. At the Judiciary Committee's recent hearing we heard from black Americans, white Americans, Asian Americans, and even a victim of an outrageous hate crime. But the question that we all must answer is whether one American's racial suffering should be valued above another's. It is a question that will only become more complicated and more urgent as our population grows ever more diverse.

As we in the Judiciary Committee now know, when we prefer individuals of one race, we must by definition discriminate against individuals of another. But America's great social divide can never be crossed until we begin the work of building a bridge of racial reconciliation. By saying today, with the introduction of this act, that the Federal Government stands for the principle that racial discrimination in all its forms is wrong, we hope to take a small step forward on the path to healing the nation's racial wounds by recognizing that every American is equal before the law.

MESSAGES FROM THE PRESIDENT

Messages from the President of the United States were communicated to the Senate by Mr. Williams, one of his secretaries.

EXECUTIVE MESSAGES REFERRED

As in executive session the Presiding Officer laid before the Senate messages from the President of the United States submitting sundry nominations which were referred to the appropriate committees.

(The nominations received today are printed at the end of the Senate proceedings.)

MESSAGES FROM THE HOUSE

At 12:44 p.m., a message from the House of Representatives, delivered by Ms. Goetz, one of its reading clerks, announced that the House has passed the following bill, in which it requests the concurrence of the House:

H.R. 1316. An act to amend chapter 87 of title 5, United States Code, with respect to the order of precedence to be applied in the payment of life insurance benefits.

The message also announced that pursuant to the provisions of section 3 of Public Law 94-304, the Speaker appoints the following Members of the House to the Commission on Security and Cooperation in Europe: Mr. HOYER, Mr. MARKEY, Mr. CARDIN, and Ms. SLAUGHTER.